

To: Albright, David[Albright.David@epa.gov]; Dermer, Michele[Dermer.Michele@epa.gov]
Cc: Moffatt, Brett[Moffatt.Brett@epa.gov]; Engelman, Alexa[ENGELMAN.ALEXA@EPA.GOV]; Bergman, Ronald[Bergman.Ronald@epa.gov]; Smith, Robert-Eu[Smith.Robert-Eu@epa.gov]; Darman, Leslie[Darman.Leslie@epa.gov]
From: Tiago, Joseph
Sent: Wed 7/16/2014 12:27:12 PM
Subject: RE: Version 1 of the MOA
CA Primacy - Dec 3 1982 AG and MOA.pdf

Hi David,

Thanks for sending this document. I am resending the version we have in our file (see attached). Please pay special attention to attachment 2 and the written notes from HQ, which flag the 11 formations with the understanding that they will be phased out in 18 months.

Thanks,

Joe.

From: Albright, David
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 5:43 PM
To: Tiago, Joseph; Dermer, Michele
Cc: Moffatt, Brett; Engelman, Alexa; Bergman, Ronald; Smith, Robert-Eu; Darman, Leslie
Subject: RE: Version 1 of the MOA

Hi Joe –

Attached is a copy of a memo with attachments we found in our files. The brief cover memo, dated Dec 13, 1982 is from a section chief in our Water Program to Phil Tate, UIC Review Coordinator, State Program Division (HQs). There are two attachments: 1) a letter from CA Deputy AG to EPA Region 9 regarding the CA primacy application; and 2) MOA between DOGGR and EPA. As you will see, this version of the MOA (signatures appear identical to those on the version you have) contains a change in the language about aquifer exemptions on p.7 and includes a longer Attachment 2 list, which is a combination of all the formations on

Attachments 2 and 3 of the version of the MOA you have. This version of the MOA makes no reference to Attachment 3.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

David

From: Tiago, Joseph

Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 1:34 PM

To: Dermer, Michele

Cc: Albright, David; Moffatt, Brett; Engelman, Alexa; Bergman, Ronald; Smith, Robert-Eu

Subject: RE: Version 1 of the MOA

Hi Michele,

Thanks for sending this version 1 of the MOA. This is what we have in our file. Do you want us to still send it to you?

I look forward to receiving version 2.

I am in tomorrow and happy to work with Leslie to look at the files in our possession.

Joe.

From: Dermer, Michele

Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 4:05 PM

To: Tiago, Joseph

Cc: Albright, David; Moffatt, Brett; Engelman, Alexa

Subject: Version 1 of the MOA

Hi Joe,

I need to get a scanned version of the one with the longer Attachment 2 and no attachment 3. I do not have that in my possession.